

Julie Beischel

Julie Beischel is director of research and co-founder of the Windbridge Research Center, a 501(c)(3) public charity based in Arizona, which researches survival of consciousness and the therapeutic benefits of consulting mediums.

Career

Julie Beischel was awarded a PhD in pharmacology and toxicology in 2003. She became interested in the question of survival of consciousness following a traumatic life event.^[1] She served in the University of Arizona's psychology department as the William James Post-Doctoral Fellow in Mediumship and Survival Research in the Laboratory for Advances in Consciousness and Health. In 2008, she opened her own centre with the formation of the [Windbridge Institute](#), which led to the founding of the (separate) [Windbridge Research Center](#) in July 2017.^[2]

Certification of Mediums

During her research Beischel certified a team of mediums, using a rigorous eight-step procedure that included screening, testing and training steps. The mediums moved with her to Windbridge, where she continued this work funded by a 2008 grant from the [Bial Foundation](#). There are now about twenty Windbridge Certified Research Mediums, who are encouraged to donate at least four hours a month to assist the research program. The certification programme is now closed and no further mediums are being certified.

Anomalous Information Reception in Mediums

Beischel's first work in parapsychology was testing the veridicality of mediumistic communications at the University of Arizona. In a tightly controlled triple-blinded experiment, eight students who had experienced the bereavement of either a parent or close friend were invited to rate blindly pairs of mediumistic transcripts – one from the purported deceased individual, the other from a matched control. Overall scoring was significantly in favour of some sort of anomalous information reception (AIR) – a neutral term used at Windbridge that acknowledges the source-of-psi problem: the inherent difficulty in ascribing discarnate or living psi influence to the data. The probability value was 0.007, although super-psi (high level psychic ability) masquerading as discarnate communications could not be ruled out.^[3]

Twenty Windbridge-certified mediums participated in a second study over a total of 86 readings with quintuple blinding (five levels). The highly controlled study eliminated conventional explanations, including cold reading, rater bias, experimenter cueing and fraud. All analyses of the data retrieved statistically significant results with p values ranging from 0.05 to 0.0001, a highly significant result. This work successfully replicated and extended the previous findings and further demonstrated anomalous information reception.^[4]

Mediumship Experiences During Readings

Rock and Beischel designed a study with the aim of investigating experimentally the phenomenological differences that may occur psychologically during a discarnate reading task as compared with a control task. In this study, seven mediums were administered discarnate readings or control readings in a counter-balanced fashion. Mediums' experiences associated with discarnate readings appeared to be significantly different from those associated with the control condition.^[5] Beischel and Rock suggest that further exploration should probe for phenomenological differences between living psi (sitter telepathy) and discarnate readings.^[6]

Comparing Discarnate and Psychic Readings

Following up the exploration of mediumship experiences, a comparison was made between psychic and discarnate readings. In this study, six certified research mediums were asked to describe, with as much detail as possible, their subjective experiences while engaged in both discarnate communication and psychic readings. The discarnate experiences centred around partial merging with the discarnate; auditory, visual, tactile, and olfactory information germane to the deceased individual; signs that contact was made; apparent independence of the medium from the discarnate; and the experience of multiple sensory modalities occurring simultaneously. Psychic readings produced reports of experiences of visual, auditory and tactile information pertinent to the client, as well as empathy and precognition. A comparison of the discarnate and psychic readings revealed clear-cut differences.^[7] A more recent, much larger qualitative-quantitative study builds on this research.^[8]

Psychophysiological Correlations

Modern mediumship research is becoming more holistic in nature with an emphasis on exploring the entire spectrum from phenomenology, reading accuracy, therapeutic potential and lastly psychophysiological correlations with mediumship performance. To explore this final aspect, data were collected from five Windbridge Certified Research Mediums. Against expectation, there were no significant hematological or psychophysiological changes between pre- and post- readings, but various additional analyses pointed to poor physical health outcomes for mediums, revealing highly significant differences in health status between mediums and controls.^[9]

Therapeutic Potential

In a paper^[10] and anthology chapter,^[11] Beischel and colleagues discuss the limitations of conventional grief counselling that emphasises the separation of the living and deceased, with concomitant acceptance of the loved one's death –which they say are largely devoid of proven benefits. They propose an alternative approach that treats the bonds between the living and deceased as real and a resource that can be channelled to the living (in both a literal and metaphorical sense). The authors note that both spontaneous and induced experiences of after-

death communication (ADC) have been shown to be beneficial in the resolution of grief by demonstrating these continued bonds. These resources are aimed at improving understanding among grief counsellors and their clients regarding after-death communication and the idea of continuing bonds with the deceased.

A more recent paper discusses the research regarding the effects on grief of all four types of ADCs: spontaneous, facilitated, assisted, and requested.^[12] A large demand for alternative approaches to standardized grief counselling is the driving force behind a clinical study into the therapeutic potential of mediumship, for which Beischel is seeking funding.

Michael Duggan

Literature

Beischel, J. (2013). [Among Mediums: A Scientist's Quest for Answers](#). Tucson, AZ: Windbridge Institute. Kindle book.

Beischel, J. (2019). [Spontaneous, facilitated, assisted, and requested after-death communication experiences and their impact on grief](#). *Threshold: Journal of Interdisciplinary Consciousness Studies* 3/1, 1-32.

Beischel, J. & Schwartz, G. (2007). Anomalous Information Reception by Research Mediums Demonstrated Using a Novel Triple-Blind Protocol. *Explore* 3, 23-7. 10.1016/j.explore.2006.10.004.

Beischel, J. & Rock, A. J. (2009). Addressing the survival vs. psi debate through process-focused mediumship research. *Journal of Parapsychology* 73, 71-90.

Beischel, J., Mosher, C. & Boccuzzi, M. (2014-15). The possible effects on bereavement of assisted after-death communication during readings with psychic mediums: A continuing bonds perspective. *Omega* 70, 169-94. 10.2190/OM.70.2.b.

Beischel, J., Boccuzzi, M., Biuso, M. & Rock, A. (2015). Anomalous information reception by research mediums under blinded conditions II: Replication and extension. *Explore: The Journal of Science and Healing* 11. 10.1016/j.explore.2015.01.001.

Beischel, J., Mosher, C. & Boccuzzi, M. (2017a). [Quantitative and qualitative analyses of mediumistic and psychic experiences](#). *Threshold: Journal of Interdisciplinary Consciousness Studies* 1/2: 51-91.

Beischel, J., Mosher, C. & Boccuzzi, M. (2017b). The potential therapeutic efficacy of assisted after-death communication. In *Continuing Bonds in Bereavement: New Directions for Research and Practice*, ed. by D. Klass & E.M. Steffen, E.M., 176-87. London: Routledge.

Beischel, J., Tassone, S. & Boccuzzi, M. (2018). Hematological and psychophysiological correlates of anomalous information reception in mediums: A preliminary exploration. *Explore* 15. 10.1016/j.explore.2018.04.009.

Rock, A., Beischel, J. & Cott, C. (2009). Psi vs. survival: A qualitative investigation of mediums' phenomenology comparing psychic readings and ostensible communication with the deceased. *Transpersonal Psychology Review* 13, 76-89.

Rock, A. & Beischel, J. (2008). Quantitative analysis of research mediums' conscious experiences during a discarnate reading versus a control task: A pilot study. *Australian Journal of Parapsychology* 8, 1445-2308.

Windbridge Institute, LLC. (2017). [Mediumship and Afterlife Research has moved to the Windbridge Research Center.](#)

Endnotes

Footnotes

1. ^ Beischel (2013).
2. ^ Windbridge Institute, LLC (2017).
3. ^ Beischel & Schwartz (2007).
4. ^ Beischel et al (2015).
5. ^ Rock & Beischel (2008).
6. ^ Beischel & Rock (2009).
7. ^ Rock, Beischel & Cott (2009).
8. ^ Beischel, Mosher & Boccuzzi (2017).
9. ^ Beischel, Tassone & Boccuzzi (2019).
10. ^ Beischel, Mosher & Boccuzzi (2014-2015).
11. ^ Beischel, Mosher & Boccuzzi, (2017).
12. ^ Beischel (2019).