Meditation and Psi

Traditional Yogic and Buddhist teachings state that a person who attains a higher state of consciousness will manifest psychic powers (siddhis). Psi awareness is said to occur at a certain stage in meditation attainment, as an aspect of the greater sensitivity and awareness that follows from removing the noise of the internal dialogue. All meditation traditions state that advanced meditators will exhibit reliable and strong psychic phenomena. This idea has been explored experimentally by Western parapsychologists, in the main with relatively untrained people and with weak and unreliable results. However, the research suggest that individuals who practice meditation continuously are more likely to achieve significant psi scoring than those who do not.

Introduction

In the classic yoga text known as Patanjali’s Yoga Sutras,\(^1\) it is stated that when one attains the state of consciousness known as Samadhi, the siddhis (psychic powers) manifest. Traditional Buddhist texts also state that on attaining to a certain level of enlightenment, that the superknowledges manifest.\(^2\) Fuller discussions of Patanjali’s Yoga Sutras in relation to psi research have been given by Braud\(^3\) and Radin.\(^4\)

Altered states of consciousness has been a central topic of research in parapsychology for the past forty years. Much of this research has been driven by a the concept of the psi-conducive state, introduced by William Braud in the 1970s. This states that psi functioning is enhanced when there is a combination of:

- cortical arousal sufficient to maintain conscious awareness
- muscular relaxation
- reduction of sensory input
- internal attention

This model was specifically linked with Patanjali’s Yoga Sutras by Honorton.\(^5\) In short, meditation techniques induce a state that is considered to be a heightened state of consciousness.

Meditation Types

Meditation can be divided into two main types. One is concentration, or one-pointed awareness (Sanskrit: shamma or dharana; Tibetan: shinay). The other is bare awareness (Sanskrit: vipassana or dhyana; Tibetan: lahtong), where one is merely aware of whatever occurs. In preliminary stages, bare awareness can be combined with awareness of something, for instance the body, breath or mind. Typically, one learns shamma techniques first and the resultant calming of the mind then enables the vipassana practices.

Breath awareness is a classic Yogic and Buddhist meditation practice. Transcendental meditation (TM) is a concentration meditation technique in which one chants a particular sound or mantra. Mantra meditation, often combined with visualization, is used extensively in both Yogic and Tibetan Buddhist traditions.

Mindfulness is one of the methods used in shamma practice to enable one to hold the focus by being vigilant to the wandering of the mind and bringing it back to awareness of the practice,\(^6\) which may be watching the mind itself, as in mahamudra or dzogchen.

Early Psi Research

Experiments in 'thought-reading' were first undertaken by the Society for Psychical Research in the 1880s, with participants attempting tasks such as guessing cards selected by another person or making drawings of objects. In the 1930s these were formalised by JB Rhine at Duke University, with statistical laboratory experiments in what he termed extrasensory perception (ESP), consisting of telepathy, clairvoyance and precognition, also psychokinesis (PK) – the four are now known collectively as psi. Some experiments produced statistically significant results, a few by a large margin. Others showed no statistical significance, with subjects scoring at chance levels.

A key element of psi research has been to try to understand the variables that make for success or failure. One key variable is the personality of the subject, the person trying to guess target objects. Another is the subject’s state of mind. The hypothesis that psi is facilitated if the subject is in a relaxed and attentive state of mind gave rise to the ganzfeld protocol, in
which the subject is immersed in a diffuse pink light and white noise. Parapsychologists consider this to be a productive – though far from foolproof – way to elicit psi in controlled conditions.

Could the practice of meditation similarly help to create high-scoring in psi experiments? This hypothesis was first tested by Gertrude Schmeidler in 1970 and others followed. However most of the research in the 1970s used subjects who were beginners in meditation, some of whom had done only a week of practice. The results in most cases were that participants scored at chance or below-chance levels before mediating, and above chance after they had meditated for a period.

A meta-analysis of all the meditation-psi experiments carried out by 1976 found that nine out of a total of sixteen were significant, giving an overall \( p = 6 \times 10^{-12} \) (See Table 1 for details).[7]

### 1980s and 1990s

Rao and Puri[8] and Rao and Rao[9] tested participants for both subliminal perception and ESP before and after they had practiced Transcendental Meditation (TM) for a week. The latter study suggests that in meditation one learns to become more aware of the contents of one's mind at a subtle level – this awareness and openness being a generalized form of sensitivity to incoming information, whether of the subliminal or psychic form. This would confirm the assertion in Yogic teachings about the effect of removing the noise of the internal dialogue.

Roll and Zill[10] obtained a significant difference (\( p < .005 \)), with the participants once again scoring non-significantly below chance before the meditation and significantly positively (\( p < .02 \)) after it. However the positive results were primarily due to decreased scoring before the meditation, which raised the possibility that the subject’s desire to comply with the experimenter’s wishes, and not meditation, might have been the real cause.

Harding and Thalbourne[11] tested the possible effects of TM by comparing three groups: nonmeditators, ordinary TM meditators, and advanced TM meditators (siddhas). They obtained null results. However they considered that this was because the meditators were unmotivated, as considerable persuasion had been needed to obtain participants for the study.

Schmeidler summarized the ESP research carried out in the period 1978-1992 and found significantly positive results in four of six studies.[12] She concluded that meditation may be psi-conducive when the meditators accept the testing procedure. (Table 2a)

### Meditation and Psychokinesis (PK)

A handful of experiments looked at meditation and psychokinesis. Typically they had the meditators attempt to influence a random event generator, to push the number of events either above or below the mean.

Braud's 1990 analysis of all such studies reported between 1971 and 1988 (Table 2b) found that in seven out of eight, meditation practitioners at some point showed a stronger PK effect.[13]

On the other hand Braud noted that none of this research identifies the psi-conducive factor: whether prior meditation history, the immediate effects of the meditation session, the mediator's personality and reasons for meditating, or a combination of these.[14] He further cautions that advanced meditators may not be positively disposed to being involved in psi research since, although both Yogic and Buddhist traditions acknowledge that psi occurs as part of one’s progress along the spiritual path, they also caution that such experiences must not be cultivated for their own sake. For both researcher and practitioner, motivations of self-aggrandizement can produce conflicts that are detrimental to research.

Between 1976 and 1992 there was a total of 14 meditation-psi studies, of which nine tested ESP and five tested PK (one tested both). Five of the nine ESP studies gave significantly positive results, as did three of the five PK studies, clearly confirming, meditation to be a psi-conducive state of consciousness.

### From the 1990s to the Present

Interest in meditation psi research revived in the new millennium, with experimenters tending to prefer advanced meditators to less psi-conducive beginners. In this period the emphasis switched from ESP to PK.

In an unpublished pilot study, Bancel worked for two months with 18 Western practitioners of Tibetan Buddhist meditation who had meditated for at least 15 years.[15] Various factors indicated that meditators influenced the random
Several experimenters have worked with groups. For example, Nelson, Jahn, Dunne, and Dobyns carried out a series of experiments in different locations using a portable random event generator.\[16\] In one study the team obtained very significant results ($p = .0004$) with a group of practitioners who meditated and chanted at various temples and within the pyramid chambers in Egypt.

On another occasion the portable device was used in a spiritual training course. Data from several other such devices around the world were also gathered during the Gaia Mind Meditation event, when people all around the globe were meditating for world health and peace.

As part of the Global Consciousness Project (GCP), Nelson ascertained the effect of groups of people all concentrating at the same time.\[17\] Between 1999 and 2013 there were 20 occasions when the GCP was alerted while groups of people meditated together. There was no clear overall outcome. In four events there were significant deviations from chance, three of which were in the positive (high) direction. In five events the results were in the high direction but non-significant, with one giving positive significance during the peak period when 1,800 meditators were present. Five events produced chance data, and six produced non-significant results in the low direction.

An experiment on distant intention effects used the Tibetan bodhicitta (compassion) practice of tonglen, in which one consciously attempts to take on the suffering of another and then send out positive energy to that person.\[18\] In this study, partners of cancer patients practiced tonglen for three months before the experimental session. The effects of the distant healing intention were recorded using skin conductance response (SCR) of the receivers, which increased during the healing epochs by a highly significant factor ($p = .00009$).

Some of this research was conducted in Britain,\[21\] some in the USA,\[22\] and some in Bali.\[23\]

A clear cultural difference emerged between the Balinese and Western studies. With the exception of the distant helper the participants in both were nonmeditators. However the Westerners pressed the button almost five times more often than the Balinese. This indicated a clear difference in their thresholds for judging mind wandering, or else in their willingness to admit to mind wandering, or in their ability to maintain focus. One speculation is that since meditative prayer is a part of daily life in Balinese Hinduism, the Balinese are naturally more adept when engaging in focus meditation, even without formal training.\[24\]

It has been noted that many meditators report having more stable attention when meditating in a group compared to practising alone (also called Sangha effect). This might be explained by a conventional psychological mechanism. However it has been speculated that there might also be an additional component through some type of distant intention effect.\[25\]

In experiments aimed at influencing quantum processes, Dean Radin asked participants to imagine that they could intuitively perceive a low-intensity laser beam in a distant Michelson interferometer (an optical device that creates light patterns).\[26\] If such observation were possible, it would theoretically change the light pattern produced by the interferometer. Perturbation would produce a lower overall level of illumination, predicted to occur during the blocking condition. The outcome was in accordance with the prediction ($p = .002$). This result was primarily due to nine sessions with experienced meditators ($p = 9.4 \times 10^{-6}$); the sessions with nonmeditators were not significant.

In an experiment of similar design, Radin and colleagues used a double-slit optical system to test the possible role of consciousness in the collapse of the quantum wave-function. The ratio of the interference pattern’s double-slit spectral power to its single-slit spectral power was predicted to decrease when attention was focused toward the double-slit as compared to away from it. Nine experiments testing this hypothesis led to a highly significant effect in the predicted direction ($p < 6 \times 10^{-6}$).\[27\]

**Effects of Meditation on Physiology**
Much recent research in parapsychology has focused on subconscious processes, in particular physiological responses to stimuli. Here the possible role of meditation has been examined. For instance, an unpublished EEG study of visual evoked potential looked to see whether brain activation in the receiver was higher when the sender was visually stimulated by a flickering black and white checkerboard pattern. Fifteen participants were trained for a month in Primordial Sound Meditation, a meditative technique developed by Deepak Chopra, with both sender and receiver practising meditation for half an hour prior to the session. EEG data were collected simultaneously from both sender and receiver. Overall, 31% of the receivers indicated an influence of the flicker condition, resulting in $p = 4 \times 10^{-7}$.

In another methodology to test for subconscious psi effects - the presentiment effect - researchers make physiological measurements prior to the participant being given a consciously-felt stimulus. It has been found that the measurements vary from one person to another, depending on whether the stimulus that they receive later is of an emotional character (one that evokes a response such as enjoyment or disgust), or is neutral, evoking no particular response. In an experiment of this type, experimenters worked both with experienced meditators – who averaged 20.8 years of active Zen-type meditation practice - and nonmeditators, exposing them unexpectedly to bright lights and noises. They measured the participants’ EEG before, during, and after the events. Comparisons between the two groups showed significant pre-stimulus differences prior to audio stimuli in 14 of 32 channels ($p < .05$), of which eight channels were significant ($p < .005$).

In an unpublished pilot study, Bierman used skin conductance (SCR) as a measure of the participant’s presentiment to auditory stimuli consisting of pleasant and unpleasant sounds. There was no overall presentiment effect, but in a measure of habituation, in the control nonmeditation condition there was a significant difference in habituation between meditators and nonmeditators, the habituation being much less for the meditators. There was a strong interaction between state of consciousness and stimulus type; pleasant sounds habituated faster during meditation, and unpleasant sounds habituated faster in the normal waking condition ($p = .002$).

In a follow-up study, measurements were made by fMRI scans. Eight participants prepared for the experiment by meditating while listening to a recording of the noise made by the scanner. Based on a qualitative analysis only, there was a presentiment effect, with twice as many responses prior to the violent targets in the resting and control conditions. In the meditation condition there was stronger presentiment prior to the erotic stimuli.

### Process-oriented Research

There have been studies in India to investigate the assertion by Yogic and Buddhist teaching that more-advanced meditators are more consistently psychic than beginners. The aim was to discover whether the difference was evident with meditators who have achieved a certain level of skill, or rather if changes in psi awareness would gradually become apparent as the person continued to practice. Four studies were carried out, initially at an Indian ashram with Yogis and then with Tibetan Buddhist monks in Indian monasteries.

Each participant completed six sessions in the Yogic studies and eight sessions in the Tibetan studies, in order to ascertain as clearly as possible their level of psi proficiency. At the conclusion each participant completed a yogic or meditation attainment questionnaire (MAQ), which detailed the types of practices they did, how often and for how long. The questionnaire measured practices such as *asana*, *pranayama*, as well as various types of meditation, such as *mantra*, visualisation, *mahamudra*, *bodhicitta*, and factors such as years spent in retreat and number of hours of practice.

All the studies showed a positive correlation between years of practice and psi-scoring. The correlation between Yogic attainment and psi was $r = .57$, $p = .02$ in Study 1 and non-significantly in the same direction ($r = .15$) in Study 2. In a similar fashion, the significant correlation of psi score with years of meditation found in Tibetan Study 1 (rho = .80, $p = .003$), was non-significant but in the same direction (rho = .28) in Study 2, which gives an overall finding for both Tibetan studies of rho = .74, $p = .0005$. These results support the interpretation that changes in consciousness occur little by little as a person practises meditation.

Using a different method of analysis to ascertain level of meditation attainment, the participants were separated into three different groups representing level of initiation. In the 2003 study, the advanced group of Yogic monks and nuns (swamis) scored significantly better than both those initiated into a yogic lifestyle (sannyasins) ($p = .05$) and the students who had only just started yoga and meditation practice ($p = .04$). In the 2004 study these differences were in a similar direction but non-significant. In the Tibetan studies, the most advanced group, the lamas, who had all completed at least three years of retreat, were the only group to have independently significant psi-hitting ($p = .04$) and significantly higher scoring that the other two groups of monks ($p = .002$).
Thus it was found that the meditators with more than 15–20 years of practice showed the most consistent psi-hitting, averaging 33% direct hits, where chance is 25%, and in some cases reaching 50%. For those who had practiced meditation for less than 15 years, the psi scoring was variable in a similar manner to that found with nonmeditators. But in those with more than 20 years of practice, the results were all in the psi-hitting direction, with stronger and stronger psi scoring as the years of practice increased.

The Tibetan research also started to explore which types of meditation practice were most psi-conducive by comparing sessions where the participant used a mantra meditation with sessions where they used a visualization practice. Years of practice of visualization, as measured by the MAQ, showed a small positive correlation with psi scoring (rho = .49). However, no difference between the two techniques was apparent during the actual sessions. It appears that the most important thing is to practice every day for years rather than to use a particular technique. However, this still does not reveal what it is about meditation practice that is psi-conducive, merely that years of continuous practice are required to make the change.

More recent research, using a similar design over a four-year period with long-term meditators at the Samye Ling Tibetan Center in Scotland, did not corroborate the Indian results, showing no correlation between years of practice and psi score. Cultural issues may have been at play here (see below).

Summary of Experimental Results

Forty-three meditation-psi experimental studies have been reported since 1992 (see Table 3). Some of these involved more than one experiment, as many as 20 in one case. Twenty-seven of the studies gave significant positive results.

In 38 studies, the participants had practiced some form of meditation, sometimes over a number of years, and had been chosen to participate on the hypothesis that meditators are more psi-conducive than nonmeditators. In 22 studies in which meditators were compared with nonmeditators or beginners, 13 found that the meditators exhibited enhanced positive psi scoring.

In 25 studies, participants were asked to meditate as part of the procedure, on the hypothesis that a meditative state of consciousness would be psi-conducive. In 11 cases, these experiments gave significant positive results. There were 14 studies where both trait and state conditions applied. Of these six gave significant positive results for at least some of the analyses.

However, there is so far no way of knowing the degree to which the participant has attained a meditative state of consciousness during any particular session. Nor is there any clear measure of what trait changes have occurred from practicing meditation over a number of years. As Cardeña also points out, personality traits, or in this case trait changes that have occurred over the years of meditation practice, and state of consciousness attained during a particular practice session are interactive processes.

Still To Be Done

Early research was primarily concerned to establish that meditation is conducive to the appearance of psychic effects. Parapsychologists believe that this has been achieved, with multiple studies showing highly significant results. Accordingly, meditators are now used in experiments less to demonstrate psi than to investigate other questions in parapsychology.

However, this leaves unexamined such questions as what the actual effect of meditation is, and what characteristic makes it psi-conducive. Researchers in transpersonal psychology have started to look at the effect of aspects such as mindfulness, but without as yet finding clear defining factors.

Parapsychologists have been criticized for assuming that all meditation techniques are the same. Research that compared the EEGs of meditators practicing a variety of techniques has found that they result in quite distinct brain patterns and rhythms. Thus, Transcendental Meditation produces alpha brain waves, while concentration techniques produce beta/gamma, and open awareness produces theta.

Meditation seems to differ from other altered states of consciousness that have been found to be psi-conducive, being one of focused attention and stillness rather than that of active imagination typical of psychedelics or dreaming.

Subliminal Processing
One theoretical model of psi suggests that psi information is continuously present at a subliminal level and that various methods of accessing this information are similar to those used in accessing other subliminal processes. This approach was studied intensively in the 1970s and 1980s.\[39\]

Comparison with subliminal perception research carried out within conventional psychology suggested that the methods used by the mind to access subliminal material are similar to those that enable it to access to psi material. This research also found that participants who can successfully bring subliminal material into consciousness are best able to access psi material.\[40\]

In Yoga psychology the practice of meditation is considered to enhance one’s awareness of subliminal states of consciousness. This has been confirmed by recent research by psychologists, and is perhaps one reason why meditation enhances psi.\[41\]

**Increased Attention: Concentration and Focus**

Another potential avenue to explore is that meditation increases the ability to concentrate. An increase in temporal attention means that the meditator has more stable attention overall. This opens the possibility that it is increased focus and concentration, together with increased awareness, that enhances psi scoring. In the Shamatta project Wallace showed that intensive meditation training resulted in improvements in perceptual discrimination and sustained attention.\[42\]

**State and Trait**

Schmidt separated meditation-psi studies into two types. One, termed 'state' experiments, uses meditation to help the participant attain a psi-conducive state of consciousness in the moment. The other, termed 'trait', uses participants who are meditation practitioners but are not asked to meditate during the session.

Of central importance in all the research studies mentioned here is that participants were considered as having 'meditated' merely because they were given instructions to do so, or because they stated that they practiced meditation, without their proficiency being assessed. This is a potentially major problem. Most Westerners use techniques regarded by traditional teachings as those of concentration pre-meditation (dharana) rather than those of meditation proper (dhyana). Also, no clear method of measuring meditation attainment has yet been devised. As seen in the section above, most types of measurement assess dharana rather than dhyana.

An attempt was made in the Yogic and Tibetan research to measure the participant’s level of meditation attainment. A self-report questionnaire, the Freiburg Mindfulness Inventory, also shows some promise. However, a complicating factor with self-reporting is that, in the East, a good meditator is expected to show humility, which can cause them to understate their actual level of attainment.

Doubts have been expressed that the meditators whom parapsychologists rely on for their experiments have attained the level of skill needed to make reliable demonstrations of psi effects. Tibetan Buddhists consider it necessary to first attain the highest level of concentration before one possesses sufficient mindful awareness to enter the meditation state proper, which they insist is beyond the thinking mind. Even then, they say, one must attain the highest meditative state and overcome the obstacles in the realm of desires before psychic effects can become reliable.

In order to progress, meditation-psi research needs to be able to reliably measure the participant’s level of meditation, and the degree to which a session affects the mind.

**Culture and Belief**

Some of the research reported above has highlighted cultural differences in the relationship between meditation and psi. It is uncertain whether this is an effect of belief on psi experiments, of the kind demonstrated by classic ‘sheep-goat’ research, or some other characteristic of meditation.

In the Bali research (see above), the helpees were as much part of the psi process as the helpers, in that their minds were involved, not merely their physiology, as in the classic DMILS (direct mental influence on living systems) protocol. The Bali participants showed a different level of response to the practice from the Westerners who participated in the USA and Edinburgh trials. This suggests that their ability to focus, or to notice that the mind had wandered, or to admit noticing that the mind had wandered - as measured by the number of button presses - differed from the Westerners.
Beginners tend not to notice the degree to which their mind is distracted; practice increases awareness of the subtler levels of distraction. The Balinese by contrast had taken part in daily pujas (prayer) since childhood - pujas being considered by Tibetans be a preliminary to meditation. It is possible that the Balinese had more focused minds than the Westerners, who most probably neither prayed, memorized scriptures, nor performed any other task that trains mental control.

It is also possible that the Balinese participants were conforming to the experimenter's wishes and, believing that fewer button presses were considered better, performed accordingly. In the East conformance to authority is far stronger than in the West. Conformance may also explain much of the early meditation-psi research where there was psi-missing prior to the meditation and psi-hitting after.

*Karma* Yoga has a number of attributes that may be found to be psi-conducive. Equanimity is one very important attribute, in which one is disturbed by neither success nor failure; absence of expectation and right motivation are considered vital for the correct attitude towards the tasks one is undertaking; and of course there must be egolessness, an essential humility, so that one is not affected emotionally by the outcome of the session. These are basic attitudes to life in both Buddhist and Yogic cultures and may facilitate psi experience. The Tibetan monks agreed to participate in our studies on condition that their purpose would be for general benefit, and insisted on remaining anonymous.

Further, in the East there is a different approach and attitude to experimental testing. The Yogis and Tibetans were comfortable about demonstrating psychic effects, but less so with regard to the science. Psi was used extensively for practical purposes in traditional Tibetan culture before the Chinese invasion. Most villages had a diviner. The monasteries had an oracle, a monk who went into an altered state of consciousness for the purpose of divination, while monks also practiced other forms of divination. These people were highly respected members of their communities.

By contrast, the science was no problem for the Western Buddhist meditators who participated in experiments in Scotland, but the psi created considerable internal conflict for them. It is probable that this cultural attitude depressed psi scoring in this study.

**Setting**

When working with participants as meditators it is important to create a setting that accords with their personal experience. Many researchers take steps to make their participants as comfortable as possible, but meditators require a more specific arrangement. Some find their practice enhanced by meditating in a favourite place, and this may also help enhance psi-scoring.

Serena Roney-Dougal
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**Table 1: Honorton’s data base as reported in the 1977 Handbook**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of report</th>
<th>Author/s</th>
<th>Receptive/PK</th>
<th>Trait/state</th>
<th>Significance of psi score</th>
<th>Comparison with control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1970</td>
<td>Schmeidler</td>
<td>receptive state</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>Pre vs post-meditation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Osis &amp; Bokert – 3 studies</td>
<td>receptive state</td>
<td>No analysis of psi score</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Matas &amp; Pantas</td>
<td>PK State and trait</td>
<td>.014</td>
<td>Sig. better than control .003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of report</th>
<th>Author/s</th>
<th>Receptive/PK</th>
<th>Trait/state</th>
<th>Significance of psi score</th>
<th>Comparison with control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Stanford &amp; Stevenson</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>state</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Schmidt &amp; Pantas – 3 studies</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>state</td>
<td>.012; 6.3 x 10^{-5}; .009</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Dukhan &amp; Rao – 3 studies</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>state and trait</td>
<td>Combined $p &lt; 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>Pre vs post-meditation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Stanford &amp; Palmer</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>state</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Honorton &amp; May</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>.035</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2a: Schmeidler’s data base as reported in Advances vol.7 (1992) + 3 studies
Table 2b: Braud's PK review (1990) (+ Honorton PK studies above)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of report</th>
<th>Author/s</th>
<th>Receptive/PK</th>
<th>Trait/state</th>
<th>Significance of psi score</th>
<th>Comparison with control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Schmeidler</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>sig</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1976</td>
<td>Braud &amp; Hartgrove</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>ns from control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Winnett &amp; Honorton</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>state</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Pre vs post meditation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Honorton</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>state &amp; trait</td>
<td>sig</td>
<td>Pre vs post meditation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Schmidt &amp; Schlitz</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>.0005</td>
<td>Sig better than control</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Through to this millennium

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year of report</th>
<th>Author/s &amp; Studies</th>
<th>Receptive/PK</th>
<th>Trait/state</th>
<th>Significance of psi score</th>
<th>Comparison with control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1994 (2013)</td>
<td>Bem</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>sig</td>
<td>Sig better than control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1998</td>
<td>Nelson, Jahn, Dunne &amp; Dobyns - 5 studies</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>.0004; ns; sig</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>Bancel</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Sig better than PEAR database &lt;.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1999 - 2013</td>
<td>Nelson et al GCP (20 studies)</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>Overall ns</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Kozak et al</td>
<td>Receptive/PK</td>
<td>state</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>Roney-Dougal &amp; Solfvin - 2 studies</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>state and trait</td>
<td>.02; ns</td>
<td>Beginner vs advanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Authors and Title</td>
<td>Type of State and Trait</td>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>Notes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Mason, Patterson &amp; Radin – 2 studies</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>state and trait</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001; 0.01</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Bierman – 2 studies</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>state and trait</td>
<td>ns; none</td>
<td>Ns diff from control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Ivttzan</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>state and trait</td>
<td>0.006</td>
<td>Pre and during meditation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Radin et al.</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>state and trait</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>2 control groups - ns diff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008/2012</td>
<td>Schmidt review of 12 AFFE studies (1995 – 2006)</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>8 trait (and all sort of state!)</td>
<td>4 sig; 8 ns; overall: 0.009</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Radin</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>Sig better than controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Roney-Dougal, Solfvin &amp; Fox</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>state and trait</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Beginners vs advanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Lobach</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>Trait</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Ns diff from control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Radin, Vieten, Michel &amp; Delorme</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>Sig diff from control &lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Roney-Dougal &amp; Solfvin</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>state and trait</td>
<td>ns</td>
<td>Beginners vs advanced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Radin et al – 6 studies</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>overall: 6 x 10^-6</td>
<td>Sig better than controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Radin, Michel, Johnston &amp; Delorme – 3 studies</td>
<td>PK</td>
<td>trait</td>
<td>2.4 x 10^-7; 2.6 x 10^-6; 0.006</td>
<td>None; Ns diff than control; none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Roney-Dougal, Ryan &amp; Luke – 2 studies</td>
<td>receptive</td>
<td>state and trait</td>
<td>ns; none</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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